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WHAT IS bioSNG?

• Other words: Green Gas, BioMethane, Renewable Natural Gas
• BioSNG is identical to Natural Gas (NG):
  – It is totally exchangeable and miscible with NG
  – It can be transported together with NG
  – It can be stored together with NG
  – It is used as NG
• It only is made from biomass

Other facts:
• Can be made with high efficiency
• Is ready to use
• Proven technology for coal
WHAT IS bioSNG GOOD FOR?

- Efficient and cheap distribution of gas
- Easy application, familiar to end-users
- Existing gas grid
- Natural gas back-up
- High social acceptance
- Gas storage decouples production and consumption
- Low-cost production at large scale
- CO₂ by-product available for storage, EOR, ...
- Easy to meet emission limits
- Distributed use for transport, heat, electricity

It makes things
Easy
Reliable
Cheap
Accepted
IN OTHER WORDS
what would you prefer?

biomass
bioSNG plant
heater
heat

biomass
wood stove
heat
IN OTHER WORDS
what would you prefer?

- biomass
- bioSNG plant
- CNG car
- transport

- car with wood gasifier
HOW IS IT PRODUCED?
*do not confuse with biogas from digestion*

1. **gasification**
2. **gas cleaning**
3. **upgrading to NG specification**

- **solid fuel** → **gaseous fuel** → **clean gaseous fuel** → **NG quality gas (SNG)**

- **100% energy conversion** → **80%** → **70%**

- **heat** at each step
NATURAL GAS

golden age of gas

United Kingdom
Netherlands
Switzerland
Sweden
Austria
### DIFFERENT COUNTRIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Austria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>natural gas consumption [PJ/y]</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natural gas as fraction of primary energy consumption [%]</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natural gas grid length [m/person]</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natural gas import dependency: (import-export) / consumption</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biomass availability [% of primary energy consumption, 2020]</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20-25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:  
http://www.energydelta.org/mainmenu/energy-knowledge/country-gas-profiles  
UNITED KINGDOM (1)

running out of natural gas, soon

Sources:  http://www.energydelta.org/mainmenu/energy-knowledge/country-gas-profiles
http://www.nationalgrid.com/uk/GrainLNG/needs/
UNITED KINGDOM (2)

- Strategy to keep using extensive gas network
- BioSNG for low-carbon heating is major driver, but suitable for all sectors
- Supported through Renewable Heat Incentive

Future:
- 50-100 MW plants on waste / waste-derived fuels
- Up to 5% Green Gas in 2050 (according to National Grid 2050 Gone Green Scenario)
- Larger bioSNG plant start-up expected 2015/16
NETHERLANDS (1)

- Netherlands wishes to keep its position in (natural) gas; “round-about”
- (Natural) gas will be key in balancing power grid
- Feed-in tariff on bioSNG since 2011
- Waste company (HVC) and gas company (Gasunie) join forces
- SNG demo plant: 12 MW wood, start-up 2014
- Indirect gasification (MILENA), OLGA tar removal
NETHERLANDS (2)

Future:
• Large SNG-plants (100 MW+)
• 3 bcm/y in 2030 and 30 bcm/y in 2050
• CNG break-through?
• LNG for trucks, boats (and aircrafts?)
• Combination with (petro)chemical industry with ethylene, benzene, syngas co-products
SWITZERLAND

• Switzerland wants to keep the options open, bioSNG nicely links to biogas
• PSI and CTU develop methanation technology specifically for biomass, whereas state-of-the-art has its origin in coal-to-SNG

Future:
• 20-50 MW plants on local biomass
• Poly-generation concept for high efficiency (bioSNG, power, heat)
• No targets set for bioSNG
SWEDEN (1)

- Little gas consumption yet
- Limited gas grid, but gas companies wish to expand the grid
- Biogas is gaining importance in transport, but is a limited amount; bioSNG can break the cap
- No specific bioSNG support scheme, but CO$_2$ tax on natural gas ~2-8 $/GJ for many users
- Göteborg Energi (GoBiGas): 32 MW wood, FICFB indirect gasifier, start-up 2013
- E.ON (Bio2G): aims at 350 MW plant, start-up planned 2016, probably CFB/O$_2$ gasification

www.gobigas.se

www.eon.se/bio2g
SWEDEN (2)

Future:

- No targets set for bioSNG
- Göteborg Energi plans to expand to 100 MW bioSNG (~150 MW wood), start-up 2017
- E.ON Sweden aims for large units of up to 700 MW input, also for outside Sweden
• Role in bioSNG is merely as technology exporter
• No support scheme, no targets for bioSNG

• Successful CHP-technology is the basis, developed by TU Vienna
• Operating plants in Güssing, Oberwart, Villach
• More to come in Klagenfurt (A), Ulm (D), and Göteborg (S)
• “Simple SNG” in co-generation concept
  (http://www.sgc.se/gasification2011/Resources/Hermann_Hofbauer.pdf)

Future:
• Smaller-scale units with co-generation of heat/power
OTHER ISSUES
WHAT DOES IT COST?

• More than natural gas
• Although that may depend on the location (Qatar: 0.2 $/GJ, US: 2 $/GJ, NL: 7 $/GJ, China: 12 $/GJ, Japan: 15 $/GJ)

• Production costs bioSNG can be decreased by:
  – Larger scale plants
  – Valuable co-products (heat, power, chemicals)
  – Lower price feedstock
• But not all combinations are realistic

• Estimate for large-scale, clean wood, no co-products, n\textsuperscript{th} plant: 15 $/GJ
  (http://www.sgc.se/gasification2011/Resources/Bram_van_der_Drift.pdf)
CONCLUDING REMARKS

- BioSNG is a convenient renewable energy carrier: perfect fit and efficient
- BioSNG (bioCNG) for transport gives you the most km/ha

- Biogas (digestion of wet biomass) works, but is limited in PJ
- Transport (CNG) offers short-term incentives in Europe: biofuels obligation

- Two strategies to create business case for bioSNG:
  - Economy-of-scale: >>100 MW
  - Co-production: heat/power makes smaller scale acceptable, preferably on waste

- The country with the smallest gas grid and the least NG consumption is going strongest for bioSNG: Sweden
- Indirect gasification appeals
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